

UNITED STATE'S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 06/20/97 08/879,322 HODGSON Α 14136 **EXAMINER** WM31/1212 RAYMOND M. MEHLET DASTOURI, M COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 200 W. ADAMS, SUITE 2850 18 CHICAGO IL 60606 2623 **DATE MAILED:** 12/12/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

08/879,322

Advisory Action

Application No. Applicant(s)

Examiner

Hodgson et al

Mehrdad Dastouri

Group Art Unit 2623



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]
a) X expires Three months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Nov 21, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
☐ The proposed amendment(s):
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.
will not be entered because:
they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ☑ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached. ☑ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached. ✓ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ✓ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:
 Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims. ✓ The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Attached. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any): Claims allowed:
 Newly proposed or amended claims
 Newly proposed or amended claims

Serial Number: 08/879,3225 Page 2

Art Unit: 2623

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Request for Reconsideration

1. Applicants' request for reconsideration filed, November 21, 2000, has been entered and

made of record.

2. Applicants' arguments have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive.

The declaration is ineffective in view of the contradictory statements in Paragraphs 3 and 4

of the declaration regarding the date of Exhibit "F".

Regarding Claim 1, prior art of record (Queisser et al) disclose an apparatus or process for

measurement of fruit particles in a matrix. The Examiner asserts that the sample tray utilized in

the Queisser et al invention contains a matrix or a two-dimensional array of food products. This

interpretation is in compliance with definition 9 of Webster Dictionary and definition 8 of the

American Heritage Dictionary.

Mehrdad Dastouri Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2623

December 4, 2000